

**TOXICITY STUDY OF *LANTANA CAMARA* LEAVES****Dr. Shirish S. Pingale\***P.G. Department of Chemistry, Gramonnati Mandal's Arts, Com. & Sci. College, Narayangaon, Pune 410504  
(Affiliated to University of Pune), India.

Received on: 11/01/2021

Revised on: 01/02/2021

Accepted on: 21/02/2021

\*Corresponding Author

**Dr. Shirish S. Pingale**P.G. Department of  
Chemistry, Gramonnati  
Mandal's Arts, Com. & Sci.  
College, Narayangaon, Pune  
410504 (Affiliated to  
University of Pune), India.**ABSTRACT**

The aim of the present work is to study the toxicity of slurry of dry powder aimed from leaves of *Lantana camara*. The leaves of this plant were collected from Rajgurunagar, Pune, Maharashtra. The fresh leaves were dried in shade and grinded with high power electric mixer. The dry sample was kept in airtight plastic container and was used for toxicity study as per OECD guidelines by using white albino Wister Rats. The plant material was administered orally at dose of 2 to 10gm/Kg body weight of Swiss mice. The animals were observed continuously for the period of first 4 hours continuously for behavioral changes and then they were kept under observation for 14 days after administration of powder in the form of slurry with the help of gavage. The mortality observations were reported to find out the toxic effect of leaves of *Lantana camara*. From these results it is observed that *Lantana camara* leaves powder at doses of 10gm/kg body weight is found to be nontoxic as no any type of abnormal changes were reported in behavior, food and water intake of the administered animals. The *Lantana camara* plant material was found to be relatively safe when administered orally in Swiss mice. Lethality or adverse toxic signs were not at all observed during the experimental period for our sample.

**KEYWORDS:** *Lantana camara*, Lethality, acute toxicity.**INTRODUCTION**

*Lantana camara* Linn. (Verbenaceae) is an invasive weed, originating from tropical America. It was introduced in several areas, especially in the Australian Pacific region, as an ornamental or hedge shrub. It is used traditionally for the treatment of eczema, rheumatism, leprosy, bilious fever, swellings, ulcers, toothache, stomach ache, influenza, tumours, anaemia, and malaria, and as an antiseptic for wounds. Numerous terpenoids, flavonoids, and steroids have been reported from this plant.<sup>[1,8]</sup> Diverse biological features, such as antimicrobial, nematicidal, anticancer, insecticidal, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsant, CNS-depressant, antihyperglycaemic, antimalarial, hepatotoxic, and antihypertensive activities, have been reported for *Lantana camara* Linn.<sup>[9,12]</sup> Leishmania major is the protozoan parasite, responsible for cutaneous leishmaniasis with an annual incidence rate affecting 1.5 million people globally. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report, 12 million people are infected by protozoan parasites, while 350 million people are living in the regions with high risk of infection. Drugs containing pentavalent antimony (SbV) are prescribed as the first-line treatment for the leishmaniasis, but they have numerous side-effects. Some of them are reported to be inherently toxic or became ineffective due to resistance developed by parasites against them.<sup>[13,18]</sup> Increasing cases of

leishmaniasis in immune compromised patients have been reported. Therefore, there is an urgent need for development of new effective antileishmanial drugs. *Lantana camara* Linn. is a noxious weed belonging to family Verbenaceae which comprise of about 650 species spread over 60 countries. Three varieties of *Lantana camara* have been reported from India in which *L. camara* var. *aculeate* is the most common.<sup>[1,4]</sup> The essential oil and extracts of the plant are used in herbal medicines for the treatment of various human diseases such as skin itches, leprosy, cancer, chicken pox, measles, asthma, ulcers, tumors, high blood pressure, tetanus, rheumatism etc.<sup>[5,6]</sup> Extracts from leaves have been reported to have antifungal,<sup>[7,9]</sup> antiproliferative,<sup>[10]</sup> antibacterial,<sup>[11]</sup> nematicidal,<sup>[14]</sup> termicidal,<sup>[15]</sup> anthelmintic,<sup>[16]</sup> and anticancer activities.<sup>[6]</sup> Beside this, the essential oil of the plants also possesses antifungal,<sup>[17]</sup> and antibacterial activities.<sup>[18,19]</sup> *Lantana camara* Linn whole plant and plant parts have been thoroughly studies for their chemical constituents, previously and recently.<sup>[6,20-22]</sup> All these studies revealed the presence of terpenoids, steroids and alkaloids as major constituents.

The use of natural medicines is increasing and is a persistent aspect of present day health care. More and more people are using herbal medicines as OTC products. There is a belief of many consumers that naturalness is a guarantee of harmlessness, but this is not true. Many traditionally used medicines can produce

dangerous and sometimes even lethal poisoning. The world health organization (WHO) is fully aware of the importance of herbal medicines to the health of many people throughout the world. Thus herbal medicines have been recognized as a valuable and readily available resource of primary health care and WHO have endorsed their safe and effective use. A few herbal medicines have withstood scientific testing but others are simply used for traditional reasons to protect, restore and improve health. The WHO has set guidelines for toxicity studies of herbal medicines. It supports appropriate usage of herbal medicines and encourages the remedies, which are proved to be safe and effective. The route for administration for subacute, subchronic and chronic toxicity can be any one of the above stated routes, but most often it is by oral route.

### Toxic Dose

**Poison** is any agent capable of producing a deleterious response in a biological system, seriously injuring function or producing death. Among chemicals there is a wide spectrum of doses needed to produce deleterious effects, serious injury or death. Some chemicals, which produce death in microgram doses, are extremely poisonous, while others may be relatively harmless after doses in excess of several grams.

A chemical agent does not produce toxic effects in biological system unless that agent or its metabolic breakdown (biotransformation) products reach appropriate sites in the body at a concentration and for a length of time, sufficient to produce a toxic manifestation. The major factors which influence toxicity are the route of administration, the duration and the frequency of exposure to the chemical agent. Toxicologists usually divide the exposure of animals into Acute toxicity, Subacute toxicity, Subchronic toxicity, Chronic toxicity.<sup>[4]</sup>

### Limit Test

All chemicals can produce toxicity under some experimental conditions, for instance, if a sufficiently

large dose is administered. It is therefore, misleading to conduct acute toxicity studies at unreasonably high dose levels for the sake of demonstrating lethality and / or toxicity, which may be irrelevant to the use of compound itself. An extremely high dose of a practically nontoxic compound for example, can cause gastrointestinal blockage, which in turn can result in gastrointestinal tract dysfunction. Toxicity in such a case is not related to the intrinsic characteristic of the test substance, since effect manifested is a direct result of the physical blockage caused by the biologically inert substance. There must be a point, however, at which an investigator may conclude that a test substance is practically nontoxic or nonlethal after an acute exposure. This test limit for oral toxicity generally is considered to be 5.0 g / Kg body weight. If no mortality is observed at this dose level, a higher dose level generally is not necessary.<sup>[5]</sup>

The safety of all medicinal products is of the utmost importance. All applications for new medicines undergo extensive evaluation of their risk to-benefit ratio and, once granted, products are closely monitored for the occurrence of adverse effects. Rabbits fed with edible *linguda* a low level fern, exhibited systemic toxicity and died following 11 days post feeding. The safety of herbal remedies is of particular importance as most of these products are self-prescribed, available as OTC (over the counter) products and are used to treat minor and often chronic conditions. The trend in the usage of plants as medicines traditionally has enabled one to record the acute and obvious signs of toxicity of the plants, which can be well recognized, and hence their use is avoided.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Acute Toxicity Study of *Lantana camara* leaves powder

An acute toxicity study was carried out for *Lantana camara* leaves by using mice as the experimental model. The study was carried out to assess the acute toxicity of the slurry of leaves powder of *Lantana camara* on oral administration. Study protocol is given below in table 1.

**Table 1: Study Protocol.**

<b>Name of the study</b>	Acute toxicity study
<b>Test material</b>	<i>Lantana camara</i> leaves powder
<b>Animal model</b>	Albino Swiss Mice
<b>Animals procured from</b>	Raj Biotech (INDIA) Ltd., Pune
<b>Sex</b>	Male and Female
<b>Weight range of animals</b>	Between 35 to 55 g
<b>No. of dose groups</b>	Five groups
<b>Animals per group</b>	3 males and 3 females
<b>Route of administration</b>	Intragastric administration with the help of gavage No. 16
<b>Dose volume</b>	2.0 ml per animal
<b>Vehicle for administration</b>	Distilled water
<b>No. of administrations</b>	Single
<b>Concentration of dose</b>	2,4,6,8 and 10gm/Kg body weight
<b>Study duration</b>	Acclimatization for 14 days, one day drug administration and 14 days observation period including holidays

<b>Parameters observed</b>	Cage side observations, daily food and water intake, daily body weight and daily mortality record etc
----------------------------	---

### Animal Maintenance

The animals were housed in polyurethane cages. The cages were provided with rice husk bedding and were cleaned daily. The animals were provided with drinking water ad libitum and were fed on commercially available Mice feed supplied by AMRUT FEED. The specifications of the feed are listed below in table 2.

**Table 2: Composition of feed.**

Name	Percentage
<b>Crude Protein</b>	20 - 21 % minimum
<b>Ether Extractive</b>	04 - 05 % minimum
<b>Crude Fiber</b>	04 % maximum
<b>Ash</b>	08 % maximum
<b>Calcium</b>	1.2%
<b>Phosphorus</b>	0.6 % minimum
<b>NFE</b>	54 %
<b>ME Kcal/Kg</b>	3600
<b>Pallet Size</b>	12 mm

The feed was enriched with stabilized vitamins such as Vit. A and D<sub>3</sub>, Vit. B<sub>12</sub>, Thiamine, Riboflavin, Folic acid and supplemented with all minerals and microelements. Measured quantities of water and feed were supplied daily in each cage. The consumption of water and food

was estimated from the amount of water remaining in feeding bottles and from the amount of feed remaining in the feed hopper.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Cage Side Observations

Assessment of the behavior of animals was carried out by general observations of each animal on a daily basis from the stage of dosing to the end of the study. Cage-side observations included daily recording of condition of the fur; damaged areas of skin; subcutaneous swellings or lumps (the size, shape and consistency), areas of tenderness, abdominal distension, eyes - for dullness, discharges, opacities, pupil diameter, ptosis (drooping of upper eyelid), the colour and consistency of the faeces, wetness or soiling of the perimenum, condition of teeth, breathing abnormalities, gait, etc. Any changes or abnormalities recorded could be an indication of toxicity. The test animals at all dose levels showed no significant changes in behavior before and after the administration of an oral dose of *Caesalpinia bonducella* decoction in the form of slurry following table 3 shows the dosage regime. Table 4 shows the observations for the parameters studied. Table 5 shows the mortality record.

**Table 3: Doses Regime.**

Sr. No.	Sex	Dose gm./Kg Body Wt.	No. of animals used	Total Vol. administered in cm <sup>3</sup>
1	Male	2	3	2.00
2	Female	2	3	2.00
3	Male	4	3	2.00
4	Female	4	3	2.00
5	Male	6	3	2.00
6	Female	6	3	2.00
7	Male	8	3	2.00
8	Female	8	3	2.00
9	Male	10	3	2.00
10	Female	10	3	2.00

**Table 4: Cage Side Observations for all animals.**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Cage Side Observations
1	Condition of the fur	Normal
2	Skin	Normal
3	Subcutaneous swellings	Nil
4	Abdominal distension	Nil
5	Eyes -dullness	Nil
6	Eyes - opacities	Nil
7	Pupil diameter	Normal
8	Ptosis	Nil
9	Colour and consistency of the faeces	Normal
10	Wetness or soiling of the perimenum	Nil
11	Condition of teeth	Normal
12	Breathing abnormalities	Nil
13	Gait	Normal

Table 5: Mortality Record.

Group ml/Kg	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	10	10
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Hr. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hr. 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hr. 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hr. 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day 14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortality	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

**Body Weight Changes**

Body weight is an important factor to monitor the health of an animal. Loss in body weight is frequently the first indicator of the onset of an adverse effect. A dose, which causes 10 % or more reduction in the body weight, is considered to be a toxic dose. It is considered to be the dose, which produces minimum toxic effect, irrespective

of whether or not it is accompanied by any other changes. All the animals from treated groups did not show any significant decrease in body weights for all the 14 days as compared with the 0 day values, indicating no signs of toxicity against powder of leaves of *Lantana camara*. The variation in body weight changes of males and females and the data is given in Table 6.

Table 6: Daily Body Weight Record in Grams.

Group gm/Kg	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	10	10
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Day 0	54	35	45	37	36	46	55	46	55	36
Day 1	54	34	45	36	36	45	56	46	56	36
Day 2	55	35	45	37	36	46	56	47	55	36
Day 3	55	36	46	37	36	46	56	47	54	34
Day 4	51	35	44	36	35	46	55	46	55	35
Day 5	55	35	46	38	38	46	57	47	55	36
Day 6	55	36	46	37	38	46	57	47	56	36
Day 7	56	36	45	38	38	46	58	48	55	36
Day 8	55	36	46	38	37	47	58	48	56	36
Day 9	54	34	45	38	37	45	57	47	54	36
Day 10	54	36	46	38	37	45	56	46	55	35
Day 11	56	38	46	39	37	47	57	48	56	38
Day 12	55	37	46	39	39	46	55	45	47	39
Day 13	47	43	44	49	48	45	47	48	48	49
Day 14	43	42	44	49	47	48	48	49	47	48

(All the values expressed as mean of three animals in each group)

and water consumption is given in Tables 7 and 8 respectively.

**Food and Water Consumption**

There was no significant change in food and water intake of the test animals at all dose levels. The data for food

Table 7: Daily Food Intake Record in Grams. (All the values expressed as mean of three animals in each group).

Group gm/Kg	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	10	10
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Day 0	15	11	16	14	21	14	16	14	11	16
Day 1	16	12	16	14	21	14	16	14	12	16
Day 2	15	12	16	14	21	14	16	14	12	16
Day 3	16	11	16	14	22	14	16	14	11	16
Day 4	15	10	15	14	20	15	15	14	10	15
Day 5	17	12	17	15	22	14	17	15	12	17
Day 6	17	12	17	14	22	14	17	14	12	17
Day 7	18	12	18	14	22	15	18	14	12	18
Day 8	18	11	18	14	22	15	18	14	11	18
Day 9	19	11	18	14	23	13	18	14	11	18
Day 10	17	15	14	17	16	15	17	18	17	19
Day 11	16	15	14	15	16	15	16	17	16	18
Day 12	15	10	15	14	20	15	15	14	10	15
Day 13	17	17	15	16	16	15	17	17	16	16
Day 14	18	14	15	17	17	16	16	17	16	18

Table 8: Daily Water Intake Record in ml. (All the values expressed as mean of three animals in each group).

Group gm/Kg	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	10	10
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Day 0	13	11	15	14	20	13	14	11	11	15
Day 1	12	11	15	14	20	13	14	11	11	15
Day 2	13	12	14	13	19	14	13	12	12	14
Day 3	14	12	14	13	21	14	13	12	12	14
Day 4	14	12	14	15	21	14	15	12	12	14
Day 5	15	13	13	15	21	14	15	13	13	13
Day 6	13	12	15	14	20	13	14	12	12	15
Day 7	14	12	15	14	22	11	14	12	12	15
Day 8	15	12	16	14	22	12	14	12	12	16
Day 9	15	11	15	14	22	12	14	11	11	15
Day 10	14	12	16	13	23	12	13	12	12	16
Day 11	15	11	16	13	21	12	13	11	11	16
Day 12	16	12	17	14	23	13	14	12	12	17
Day 13	17	11	17	13	22	13	13	11	11	17
Day 14	17	11	18	14	23	12	14	11	11	18

**Mortality**

Mortality is the main criteria in assessing the acute toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>) of any drug. There was no mortality recorded even at the highest dose level i.e. 10gm/ Kg. body weight.

**CONCLUSION**

From the results of this study, it is observed that there is no considerable change in body weight, food and water consumption by the animals from all dose groups (2gm/Kg body weight to 10gm/Kg body weight). There was no mortality recorded even at the highest dose level i.e. 10gm/Kg body weight, which proves that the powder of leaves of *Lantana camara* of this plant has no significant toxic effect in mice.

**REFERENCES**

1. The Wealth of India: Raw Material, Publication & Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi, India, 1972; VI: 31-34.
2. Morton JF. Lantana, or red sage (*Lantana camara* L., [Verbenaceae]), notorious weed and popular garden flower, some cases of poisoning in Florida. *Econ Bot*, 1994; 48(3): 259-270.
3. McLennan MW, Amos ML. Treatment of Lantana poisoning in cattle. *Aust Vet J*, 1989; 66: 93-94.
4. Ross IA. Medicinal plants of the world: Chemical Constitutes, traditional and modern medical uses. Humana Press, New Jersey, 1999.
5. Ghisalberty EL. *Lantana camara* Linn (Review). *Fitoterapia*, 2000; 71: 467-485.
6. Tripathi AK, Shukla BN. Antifungal activity of some plant extracts against *Fusarium oxysporum* sp. causing wilt of linseed. *J Mycol Plant Pathol*, 2002; 32: 266-267.

7. Kumar VP, Neelam SC, Harish P. Search for antimicrobial and antifungal agents from selected Indian medicinal plants. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 2006; 107: 182-188.
8. Srivastava D, Singh P. Antifungal potential of two common weeds against plant pathogenic fungi-*Alternaria* spp. *Asian J Exp Biol Sci.*, 2011; 2(3): 525-528.
9. Mostafa AA, Al-Rahmah AN and Abdel MA. Evaluation of some plant extracts for their antifungal and antiaflatoxicogenic activities. *J Med Plant Res.*, 2011; 5(17): 4231-4238.
10. Hussain T, Arshad M, Khan S, Sattar H and Qureshi MS. In vitro screening of methanol plant extracts for their antibacterial activity. *Pak J Bot*, 2011; 43(1): 531-538.
11. Mary KV. Studies on photochemical screening and antimicrobial activities of *Lantana camara*. *Plant Sci Feed*, 2011; 1(5): 74-79.
12. Mani LM, Sankar C, Dilip C, Azeem AK, Raj D, Mathew L, Mambra ABM, George LA, Jayaprakash AP, Alex H, Sreethu KS and Thampi DS. Antimicrobial studies on extracts of *Lantana camara* Linn. *Scholars Research Library*, 2010; 2(5): 80-82.
13. Barreto FS, Sousa EO, Campos AR, Costa JGM and Rodrigues FFG. Antibacterial activity of *Lantana camara* Linn. and *Lantana montevidensis* Brig. extracts from Cariri-Ceara, Brazil. *J Young Pharm*, 2010; 2(1): 42-44.
14. Verma RK and Verma SK. Phytochemical and termiticidal study of *Lantana camara* var. *aculeata* leaves. *Fitoterapia*, 2006; 77(6): 466- 468.
15. Bequm S, Siddiqui BS and Wahab A. Antimycobacterial activity of flavonoids form *Lantana camara* Linn. *Nat Prod Res.*, 2008; 22(6): 467-470.
16. Mohapatra TK, Dash V, Behera SK, Parida B, Sahoo AM, Nayak RR, Saha SS, Behera B. Evaluation of anthelmintic and antimicrobial activity of the leaves of *Lantana camara*. *Int Res Pharm Sci.*, 2011; 2(1): 12-15.
17. Sonibare OO and Effiong I. Antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity of essential oil of *Lantana camara* leaves from Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol*, 2008; 7(15): 2618-2620.
18. Pattnaik S and Pattnaik B. A study of *Lantana camara* Linn aromatic oil as an antimicrobial agent. *Int Res J Pharm Sci.*, 2010; 1(1): 32-35.
19. Tesch NR, Mora F, Rojas L, Diaz T, Velasco J, Yanez C, Rios N, Carmona J, Pasquale S. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of *Latana camara* var. *moritziana*. *Nat Prod Commun*, 2011; 6(7): 1031-1034.
20. Kurade NP, Jaitak V, Kaul VK, Sharma OP. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of *Lantana camara*, *Ageratum houstonianum* and *Eupatorium adenophorm*. *Pharm Biol.*, 2010; 48(5): 539-544.
21. Sousa EO, Silva NF, FFG Rodrigues, Campos AR, Lima SG, Costa JGM. Chemical composition and resistance-modifying effect of essential oil of *Lantana camara* Linn. *Phcog Mag*, 2010; 6(22): 79-82.
22. Benites J, Moiteiro C, Miguel G, Rojo L, Lopez J, Venancio F, Ramalho L, Feio S, Dandlen S, Casanova H and Torres I. Composition and biological activity of the essential oil of Peruvian *Lantana camara*. *J Chil Chem Soc.*, 2009; 54(4): 379-384.
23. Padalia RC, Verma RS and Sundaresan V. Volatile constituents of three invasive weeds of Himalayan region. *Rec Nat Prod*, 2010; 4(2): 109-114.
24. Montanari RM, Barbosa LCA, Demuner AJ, Silva CJ, Carvalho LS, Andrade NJ. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils from Verbenaceae species: alternative sources of (E)-caryophyllene and germacrene-D. *Quim Nova*, 2011; 34(9): 1550-1555.
25. Sousa EO, Almeida TS, Menezes IRA, Rodrigues FFG, Campos AR, Lima SG and Costa JGM. Chemical composition of essential oil of *Lantana camara* L. (Verbenaceae) and synergistic effect of the Aminoglycosides Gentamicin and Amikacin. *Rec Nat Prod*, 2012; 6(2): 144-150.
26. Sousa EO, Colares AV, Rodrigues FFG, Campos AR, Lima SG and Costa JGM. Effect of collection time on essential oil composition of *Lantana camara* Linn. (Verbenaceae) growing in Brazil Northeastern. *Rec Nat Prod*, 2010; 4(1): 31-37.
27. Saikiya AK and Sahoo RK. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of *Lantana camara* L. *Middle-East J Scientific Research*, 2011; 8(3): 599-602.
28. Ernest Guenther. Individual essential oils of the plant families Rutaceae and Labiatae. Robert E. Krieger publishing company, Huntington, New York, 1975; 3: 316-319.
29. Adams RP. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (4th Ed.). Allured Business Media, Carol Stream, IL, U.S.A., 2009.
30. Research guidelines for evaluating the safety and efficacy of herbal medicine, World Health Organisation Regional Office for the Western Pacific Manila, 1993; 1-9.
31. John H. Duffus, *Fundamental Toxicology for Chemists*, Ed. John H. Duffus and Horward G. J. Worth, Royal Society of Chemistry, 1996; 1-5.
32. Michael A. Gallo, Casarett and Doull's *Toxicology - The Basic Science of Poison*, Ed. Curtis D. Klassen, International edition, McGrath-Hill Health Professions Division, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, 1996; 1: 3 – 5.
33. Trevan J.W., The error of determination of toxicity, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, 1927; 101B: 483-514.
34. David L. Eaton and Curtis D. Klassen, Casarett and Doull's *Toxicology. The Basic Science of Poison*, Ed. Curtis D. Klassen, International edition, McGrath-Hill Health Professions Division, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, 1996; 2: 13.

35. EPA: EPA fact sheet: Background on acute toxicity testing for chemical safety, August, 1984.
36. FDA: "Final report on acute studies workshop" Sponsored by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration on November 9, 1983.
37. Kennedy G.L et al, "J. Appl. Toxicol.", 1986; 24: 457- 463.