## International Journal of Modern Pharmaceutical Research

www.ijmpronline.com

ISSN: 2319-5878 IJMPR Review Article

SJIF Impact Factor: 5.273

# A CLINICAL EVALUATION OF *VIDANGADI VATIKA GUGGULU ANDKHADIRADI VATIKA IN THE* MANAGEMENT OF SARAVASARA ROGA (*MUKHPAKA*) W.S.R. TO APHTHOUS ULCER

Dr. Jagriti\*<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Satish Sharma<sup>2</sup> and Dr. Vijayant Bhardwaj<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG Scholar, Deptt. of Shalakya Tantra, RGGPG Ayurvedic College & Hospital Paprola, Distt.Kangra HP. <sup>2,3</sup>Reader PG Deptt. of Shalakya Tantra, RGGPG Ayurvedic College & Hospital Paprola, Distt.Kangra HP.

Received on: 26/05/2022 Revised on: 16/06/2022 Accepted on: 06/07/2022

### \*Corresponding Author Dr. Jagriti

PG Scholar, Deptt. of Shalakya Tantra, RGGPG Ayurvedic College & Hospital Paprola, Distt. Kangra HP.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The concept of mouth ulcer has been dealt in Ayurveda under the heading of Savasara or Mukhpaka Roga<sup>[1]</sup>. The word Mukhapaka is formed by two words – Mukha and Paka. Mukha includes Osthaadi seven parts i.e. ostha, Danta, Dantamoola, Jihwa, Taalu, Kantha and Sarvasara, Paka indicates Pachana and Kledana. An Aphthous ulcer is a type of mouth ulcer or canker sore which presents as painful open sore, inside the mouth caused by a break in the mucous membrane. As per Ayurveda the line of treatment for Mukhpaka should be pittashamaka, shothahara, vedanasthapana, vranaropana, raktaprasadaka and keeping in mind the pathogenesis Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu as oral drug and Khadiradi Vatika for local use has been elected for present study. The present study was done on 30 patients of Mukhpaka, who were grouped into 3 groups with 10 patients in each group. Group A was treated with Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu as oral drug, Group B with Khadiradi Vatika for local effect and Group C with combination of both. The signs and symptoms were studied before and after treatment. Results of study showed, 10% patients were cured, 30% were markedly improved, 40% patients had moderate improvement, 20% showed mild improvement. There were no patients who were unimproved.

**KEYWORDS:** Mukhpaka, Aphthous ulcer, sarvasara roga, Vidangadi guggulu, KhadiradiVatika.

#### INTRODUCTION

Sarvasara Roga (Mukhpaka) or the Aphthous ulcer is painful and often recurrent inflammatory process of the oral mucosa that can appear secondary to various welldefined disease processes. Idiopathic aphthous stomatitis is referred to as recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS) is a condition in which ulcers repeatedly occure in the oral cavity at least four times a year. [2] It is prevalent in developed countries. Occurring in all ages, geographic regions and races. [3] Predisposing factors like trauma, deficiency of B- complex vitamins and folate, microbial factors, stress, hormonal changes and immunological factors may contribute to the formation of ulcers. [4,5] Immune mechanism appears at play in persons with a genetic predisposition to oral ulceration. Histopathologically, aphthae contains a mononuclear infiltrate with a fibrin coating. Patients with recurrent aphthae may have alteration of local cell mediated immunity or autoimmune disorders; (over reaction by body's own immune system). Systemic T and B cell responses have been also reported altered in patients with recurrent apthae. [6] Ulcers shows painful, round ulcers which have a surrounded pseudomembranous centre by erythematous margin. Burning sensation is present for about 2 to 48 hours before the appearance of ulcer. [4] Most ulcers occur on the non-keratinising epithelial surface of the mouth like the buccal and labial mucosa and the tongue in three forms such as minor, major and herpetiform recurrent aphthous stomatitis. The fundamental goals of treatment are to shorten the duration of ulcer, provide relief from pain and increase disease free periods while the secondary goals would be to lessen the frequency and severity of recurrences. [7,8]

Ayurveda, considered as one of the complementary and alternative medicine describes several formulations in the form of *Vatika*, *Guggulu*, *Churna*, *Kwath* etc. oral therapies for treatment of *Saravasara Roga*( *Mukhpaka*) among which *Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu* and *Khadiradi Vatika* was selected to assess their efficacy under the topic entitled "A Clinical evaluation of *Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu* and *Khadiradi Vatika* in the management of *Sarvasara Roga* (*Mukhapaka*) w.s.r. to Aphthous Ulcer."

#### AIMS & OBJECTIVES

- To study the *Mukhapaka Roga* according to the *Ayurvedic* concept.
- To study the Aphthous Ulcer in the light of

modern concepts and to avail the latestinformation related to research as possible.

- To study the efficacy of the formulation Vidangaadi Vatika Guggulu and Khadiradi Vatika inthe context of Aphthous Ulcer.
- To promote complete ulcer healing within a short period of time.
- To avoid its complication or sequel and to study any side effects of the therapy.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Group, Dose & Duration-Single group

#### Study design:

Open uncontrolled studyNumber of patients -10

#### **Drug Schedule**

Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu as oral drug Dose 500mg TID

Khadiradi Vatika for Chewing Dose 250mg QID

#### **Duration of treatment** 15 days

Follow up after 15 days

#### Selection Criteria

Uncomplicated patients with signs and symptoms of aphthous ulcer, attending OPD of R.G.G.P.G. Ayurvedic Hospital Paprola were selected above 12 years age, after obtaining their consent. Case selection was regardless of sex, occupation, socio-economic consideration & religion etc. All the patients were followed up after 15 days.

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

- a) Patients having ulcers in the oral cavity.
- b) Patient presenting with signs and symptoms of Aphthous ulcer.
- c) Age above 12 years irrespective of sex.

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- a) Ulcer formed due to malignancy, seropositive patients.
- b) Patients below 12 years of age.
- c) Associated symptoms i.e. fever, malaise and tender lymphadenopathy.
- d) Associated with complex autoimmune disorder including Bechet's syndrome, Reiter syndrome, IBS,
- e) Cardiac, diabetic and hypertensive patients.

#### Plan of work

The study was planned in different steps as mentioned below:

1. **Proforma**: A special proforma will be prepared for the evaluation of etiopathogenesis and assessment of treatment efficacy. A detailed history will be taken and

l

simultaneously general and systemic examination of the patients was done having signs and symptoms suggesting of aphthous ulcer.

#### 2. Investigations

Complete haemogram- (Hb%, TLC, DLC, ESR) Biochemistry- FBS/PP/R VDRL

HIV-I & II (if required)

#### Clinical assessment

Assessment of the effect of treatment has been done on the basis of relief of sign and symptoms of aphthous ulcers on the basis of grading and scoring system.

#### Pain in the effected area

- 0 No Pain
- 1 pain on touch
- 2 pain without touch
- 3 Pain causing difficulty in opening mouth

#### **Burning sensation**

- 0 No complaint
- 1 with hot beverages
- 2 felt on taking spicy and acidic salty food
- 3 Severe throughout the day without any aggravating factor

#### Difficulty in chewing/ingestion c)

- Can eat easily 0
- 1 can eat solid food
- 2 can eat liquid food only
- 3 cannot eat liquid as well as solid food

#### **Excessive salivation** d)

- No complaint
- Complaining of salivation 1
- 2 Has to spit saliva
- 3 Dribbling of saliva

#### Inflammation e)

- 0 No hyperemia
- At ulcer margin only2 Floor of ulcer 1
- 3 Centre of ulcer necrosed / slough seen

#### Size (degree) of ulceration f)

- No ulceration 0
- 1 <3mm
- 2 3mm -<1cm
- >1cm

#### g) No. of ulceration

0 No ulceration

1 < 1

2 2-10

3 > 10

#### Criteria For Over All Assessment

Cured	100% relief in signs and symptomsand no recurrence during follow-up study have been				
	considered as cured.				
Markedly	75%-<99% improvement in signs and symptoms has been considered as marked				
improved	improvement.				
Moderate	50%-<74% improvement in signs and symptoms has been recorded as moderate improvement.				
improved					
Mild improved	25%-<49% improvement in signs and symptoms has been considered a mildimprovement.				
Unimproved	Up to 25% reduction in signs and symptoms was noted as unchanged.				

#### **Drug Review**

Ingredients of Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu<sup>[9]</sup>

Sr. no	Name of plant	Botanical name	Dosha karma	Family	Part used	Quantity
1.	Vaya Vidanga	Embelia ribes Burm.f	Vatakaphahara	Myrsinaceae	Fruit	1 part
2.	Haritaki	Terminalia chebula Retz.	Tridoshahara	Combretaceae	Fruit Pericarp	1 part
3.	Vibheetaki	Terminalia bellirica Roxb.	Tridoshanashaka	Combretaceae	Fruit Pericarp	1 part
4.	Amalaki	Emblica officinalis Gaertn.	Tridoshahara	Euphorbiaceae	Fruit Pericarp	1 part
5.	Shunthi	Zingiber officinale Roxb.	Vata Kaphahara	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome	1 part
6.	Maricha	Piper nigrumLinn.	Vata Kaphashamaka	Piperaceae	Fruit	1 part
7.	Pippali	Piper longum	Vata kaphahra	Piperaceae	Fruit	1 part
8.	Guggulu	Linn. Commiphora mukul	Tridoshahara	Byrseraceae	Exudate	7 parts

#### Rasa Panchaka of Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu

Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu have Katu Ras, Laghu Guna, Ushna Virya, Madhura Vipaka and Kapha Vata Shamaka properties. Amalaki, Shunthi, Maricha and Pippali are powdered in mini pulveriser and dried. Now, Shudh Guggulu is added in it on the fire and stirred thoroughly. After that tablets of 500 mg are prepared.

#### Method of preparation of Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu

The contents Vaya Vidanga, Haritaki, Vibheetaki,

## Ingredients of Khadiradi Vatika[10]

Sr. no.	Name of plant	Botanical name	Dosha karma	Family	Part used	Quantity
1.	Khadir Saar	Acacia catechu	Kaphapitta	Fabaceae	Ht. Wood	1 Part
		(Linn.f.)Wild.	shamaka		(Saar)	
2	Javitri	Myristica fragransHoutt.	Kapha VataShamak	Myristicaceae	Aril Sub.	¼ Part.
3.	Karpur	Cinnamomum camphora	Tridoshahara	Lauraceae	Ext.	¼ Part
		(L.)J.Presl.				
4.	Pugiphala	Areca catechu Linn.	Kaphapittajit	Arecaceae	Fruit	¼ Part
5	Kankol	Piper cubeba Linn.f.	Kaphavatahara	Piperaceae	Fruit	¼ Part

#### Rasa Panchaka of Khadiradi Vatika

Khadiradi Vatika have Tikta Ras, Laghu Guna, Sheeta Virya, Katu Vipaka and Kapha VataShamaka properties.

## Method of preparation of Khadiradi Vatika

The contents *Khadir saar*, *Kapoor*, *Javitri*, *Pugiphala* and *Kankol* are powdered in minipulveriser. After that tablets of 250 mg are prepared.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The information gathered regarding demographic data is shown in percentage. The score of criteria of assessment were analysed statistically in form of mean score B.T. (Before Treatment), A.T. (After Treatment), (B.T.-A.T.) difference of mean, S.D. (Standard deviation), S.E. (Standard error), Student paired 't' test was carried out at p>0.05, p<0.05 and p<0.001.

The results were considered significant or insignificant depending upon value of 'p'.

- Highly significant- p<0.001
- Significant p<0.01 and p<0.05.
- Insignificant p>0.05.

#### Consent of patient

All the patients selected for trial were explained the nature of the study and their written consent was obtained on the proforma before including them in the clinical study.

#### OBSERVATIONS

Among 10 patients 36.67% were of age group 31-40 yrs, 80% were females, 86.67% unmarried, 83.33% residents

of rural area, Hindu 100%, Housewives 56.67%, 33.33% Educated upto graduation, 83.33% belonged to middle class, 56.67% had positive family history, 66.67% were having mixed diet, 53.33% were addiction to tea, 50% had poor appetite, 60% had disturbed sleep, 56.67% had affinity towards MadhuraRasa. 46.67% of patients had KaphapittajaPrakriti, 76.67% had RajasikaPrakriti, 53.33% Madhyama Sara, 63.33% Madhamsamhanana, 66.67% Madhyama Pramana, 80% had MahyamaSatva, 80% had AvaraVyayam Shakti. 70% of patients had Mandagni, 73.33% had non-satisfactory Bowel habits, 73.33% KruraKostha. 76.67% of patients were taking Guru ahara, 46.67% were taking Virudhahara and 46.67% were practising Advashana. 53.33% of patients were suffering from Krodha and 36.67% were from *Chinta*. 56.67% of patients 56.67% had AvaraJaran Shakti. Most common symptoms were pain in affected area along with burning sensation, difficulty in chewing and inflammation whichis found in 100% of patients.

#### **Effect of Therapy**

#### 1. Pain in affected area

The initial score of pain in affected was 1.6 which was reduced to 0.1 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 93.75% which is highly significant statistically at the level of p<0.001 (t=9.000).

#### 2. Burning Sensation

The initial score of burning sensation was 1.9 which was reduced to 0.7 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 63.15% which is highly significant statistically at the level of p<0.001 (t=6.000).

#### 3. Difficulty in Chewing / swallowing

The initial score of difficulty in chewing / swallowing was 1.1 which was reduced to 0.4 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 63.63% which is significant statistically at the level of p=0.001 (t=4.583).

#### 4. Excessive Salivation

The initial score of excessive salivation was 0.6 which was reduced to 0.1 after thetreatment. The %age of relief was 83.33% which is significant statistically at the level of p=0.015 (t=3.000).

## 5. Inflammation

The initial score of inflammation was 1.4 which was reduced to 0.3 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 78.57% which is highly significant statistically at the level of p<0.001 (t=6.128).

#### 6. Degree (size) of ulceration

The initial score of pain in affected was 1.4 which was reduced to 0.5 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 64.28% which is highly significant statistically at the level of p<0.001 (t=5.014).

#### 7. No. of ulceration

The initial score of size of ulceration was 1.8 which was

reduced to 0.6 after the treatment. The %age of relief was 66.67% which is highly significant statistically at the level of p<0.001 (t=6.000).

#### Overall effect of the drugs

Overall effect showed moderate improvement in 14 patients (46.67%), mild improvement in 9 patients (30%), 5 patients (16.67%) were markedly improved, 2 patients (6.67%) were cured and there was no patient who was unimproved.

#### DISCUSSION

To treat the disease in a proper way, it is necessary to know the causative factor and the disease process. According to modern concept, the exact Etiology is unknown but recent studies suggest that an altered cellular immune response is a predisposing factor. In Ayurveda looking at the Samprapti of Mukhapaka the main vitiated Doshas are Kapha and Pitta. These vitiated Doshas again interact with vitiated Vatadosha, which further vitiated Rakta. The aetiological factors or the Hetus by the specific pathogenesis of the Samprapti lead to Paka and Vrana in the mouth. So Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu was chosen as the trial drug, which is having properties Kaphavata Shamaka (55.55%),VatapittaShamaka properties (11.11%)TridoshaShamaka properties (33.33%). The Trial drug Vidangadi Vatika Guggulu is having dominance of Katu (77.77%), Laghu Guna(77.77%), Ushana Rasa Veerya(66.66%), Madhura Vipaka(77.77%), Kapha-VataShamaka (55.55%)and TridoshaShamaka (33.33%) properties which counteract the *samprapti* of Mukhpaka. The Rasa Katu has Shodhana, Krimihara, Kanduhara, Kledahara, Vrana Avsaadaka, Shleshma Upshoshaka and Lekhana properties as per Ch.Su.26/42-5<sup>[11]</sup> which act against the symptomatology of Mukhpaka.

In this formulation 'Guggulu<sup>[12]</sup> which is the main ingredient is having *Lekhna* (*PuranaGuggulu*) Tridoshahara properties along with Deepanam in nature which heips in management of Mukhpaka. And also indicated in Vrana, Granthi, Shotha, Kushta. It has antiinflammatory properties also. 'VayaVidanga' which is Vatakaphahara contains Krimighna, Dipana, Pachana, Sothahara, Kusthaghna, Raktashodhak properties. 'Haritaki' which is Tridoshahara in nature and has Raktastambhaka, Shothahara, Shonitsthapana, Vedanasthapana, Vranaropana properties. *'Vibheetaki'*,<sup>[15]</sup> (Mainly has Tridoshanashamka Kaphanashamka) and Chedana properties along with Anti-inflammatory, analgesic action. 'Amalaki , is Tridoshahara (Mainly Pittashamaka) along with Antibacterial, anti-inflammation, antitumor, antifungal, immunomodulator and antioxidant properties. 'Shunthi',[17] VataKaphahara along Shothahara, Amapachana, Shoolaprashamana in action. 'Maricha', is VataKaphashamaka and has Deepana, Pachana, Krimighna, Kushthaghna properties. 'Pippali' is Vatakaphahra in nature and has Deepaniya, Shoolprashmana (Ch.), Urdhavabhagahar, Shirovirechan (Su.) properties.

In this formulation 'Khadir saar<sup>[20]</sup> which is the main ingredient is having Kaphapittashamaka, Raktashodhaka properties along with Kushthaghana, Kandughana, Vranaropaka. in nature which heips in management of Mukhpaka. It is regarded as best astringent, haemostatic, cooling and digestive. Externally it is employed for ulcers (bed sores), boils and eruption of skin. It is reported to be an antileprotic drug. 'Javitri', [21] which is KaphaVatashamaka contains astringent, analgesic, aphrodisiac, digestive, anti-inflammatory, antiseptic properties. 'Kapoor', [22] which is Tridoshahara in nature and the essential oil from plant possesses potent anti-fungal activity against many fungi such as fumigatus, aspergillus candida etc. 'Pugiphala<sup>[23]</sup> has Kaphapittajit property along with Deepana, Kledanasanashana, Mukhshodana, Vikasi, 'Kankol',[24] Mukhavikaranashak action. Kaphvatahara along with Deephan, Pachana, Ruchya, Mukhadaurgandhyahara, Mukharogahar, Shoolaghan properties.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Recurrent Aphthous ulceration is one of the most common oral conditions with a prevalence of upto 25% in the general population and three month recurrence in upto 50% or in other words these are estimated to affect one-fourth of the population word wide. The younger age group is most commonly affected. The symptomatology of *Mukhpaka* and Aphthous ulcer was found to be same. Hence there is correlation between *Mukhpaka* and Aphthous ulcer. All the patients with *Mukhpaka* who received proposed formulation, tolerated well and no untoward effect werereported by the patients registered for the current trial.

### REFERENCES

- AmbikadattShastri, Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Ayurveda TatvaSandipika Hindi Commentary, NidanaSthan, Reprint Edition, Chapter 16 versus 66, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthana, 2012; 391.
- Vaillant L,Samimi M. Aphthous ulcers and oral ulcerations. Presse Med [Paris, France: 1983], 2016; 45[2]: 215-26.
- 3. Natah SS, Konttinen YT, Enattah NS, Ashammakhi N, Sharkey KA, Hayrinen-Immonen R. Recurrent Aphthous Ulcers today: a review of the growing knowledge. Int J Oral Maxillocfac Surg, 2004; 33[3]: 221-34.
- 4. Akintoye SO, Greenberg MS. Recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Dental Clinics North Am, 2014; 58[2]: 281-97.
- Preeti L, Magesh KT, Rajkumar K, Karthik R. Recurrent aphthous stomatitis. JOMFP, 2011; 15[3]: 252-56.
- 6. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1075570.
- 7. Tarakji B, Gazal G, Al-Maweri SA, Azzeghaiby SN,

- Alaizari N. Gudeline for the diagnosis and treatment of recurrent aphthous stomatitis for Dental practitioners. Journal of International Oral Health: JIOH, 2015; 7[5]: 74-80.
- 8. Wardhan DE. Recurrent aphthous stomatitis caused by food allergy. Acta Med Indones, 2010; 42[4]: 236-40.
- 9. YOGARATNAKARA with 'Vidyotini' Hindi Commentary by Vaidya Lakshmipati Sastri Edited by Bhisagratna Bramshankar Shastri Chapter Vranashodhanaropanavidhi shloka, 1: 177.
- CHAKRADATTA of Sri Chakrapanidatta with the 'Vaidyaprabha' Hindi commentary by Dr. Indradev Tripathi Ayurvedacharya, Reprint Edition, Mukhrogadhikar chikitsa Shloka, 2005; 56-57.
- Pt. Kashinathshastri and Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, Charak Samhita of Charak with Vidyotni Hindi Commentary, Sutra Sthana, Reprint Edition, Chapter 26 versus3, Varanasi Chaukhamba Bharti Academy, 2011; 506.
- 12. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 1: 54-58.
- 13. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 5: 503-506.
- 14. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 9: 753-758.
- 15. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 4: 239-241.
- 16. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 9: 758-760
- 17. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 5: 331-335
- 18. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 5: 362-365
- 19. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 4: 275-279
- 20. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 2: 159-162
- 21. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 5: 458-461.
- 22. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 3: 198-202.
- 23. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 9: 750-753.
- 24. Dravyaguna Vigyanam, by Acharya P.V. Sharma, Vol.2, Reprint, 2013; 8: 642-644.